Edward Broket Esq

A writ of *diem clausit extremum* was directed to the escheator of Hertfordshire in Oct 20 1488 informing him of Edward's death:¹

The Inquisition post mortem

1. Inquisic<u>io</u> indentat<u>a</u> capt<u>a</u> apud Stortford Com<u>itatu</u> Hertf<u>ord</u> quarto die Nouembr<u>is</u> anno regni Regis Henrici Septimi post conquestu<u>m</u> Anglie quarto coram Iacobo Songer Escaetor<u>e</u> eiusd<u>e</u>m d<u>omi</u>ni Regis in

Inquisition indented and held at Stortford in the county of Herts 4 Henry VIII [1488] before James Songer the same lord king's Escheator in

2. Com<u>itatu pre</u>dict<u>o</u> virtute br<u>eu</u>is ip<u>s</u>ius d<u>omi</u>ni Regis 'de' diem clausit extrem<u>um</u> eidem Escaetori post mortem Edwardi Broket armiger<u>i</u> in eid<u>e</u>m br<u>eu</u>i no<u>minati</u> directi & huic Inquisic<u>ioni</u> consut<u>i</u> p<u>er</u> Sacramentum Iohannis Marchall

the aforesaid county by virtue of the lord the king's own writ of 'the last day has closed' after the death of Edward Broket Esquire named in the same writ, directed to the same Escheator and sewn to this Inquisition by the oath of John Marchall,

3. Will<u>elm</u>i Shepperd Henrici Busshe Ioh<u>ann</u>is Cadde Ioh<u>ann</u>is Chamb<u>er</u>leyn sen<u>ior</u> Ioh<u>ann</u>is Ieuyn Thom<u>e</u> Palmer Ioh<u>ann</u>is Clerk Ioh<u>ann</u>is Smyth hosyer Roberti Rumsey Will<u>elm</u>i Cryner Ioh<u>ann</u>is Halle

William Shepperd, Henry Busshe, John Cadde, John Chamberleyn senior, John Jevyn, Thomas Palmer, John Clerk, John Smyth hosier, Robert Rumsey, William Cryner, John Halle

4. Roberti Hammyng & Rob<u>erti Blossom Qui dicunt super sacrament</u>um suu<u>m quo</u>d p<u>redictus</u> Edwardus Broket in dict<u>o</u> br<u>eu</u>i no<u>minatur</u> non tenuit aliquas terras seu ten<u>emen</u>to de d<u>icto</u> d<u>omi</u>no Rege nec de aliquo

Robert Hammyng and Robert Blossom Who say upon their oath that the aforesaid Edward Broket named in the said writ held no other lands or tenements from the said lord king neither from anyone

5. alio in dom<u>ini</u>co nec in s<u>eruicia</u> die quo obijt set dicunt <u>quo</u>d Thomas Broket frat<u>er</u> eiusd<u>e</u>m Edwardi & Elizabeth' vx<u>or</u> eius fuer<u>unt</u> sei<u>siti</u> de & in man<u>er</u>io de **Symonsyde** cu<u>m</u> <u>pertinencijs</u> in Com<u>itatu</u>

else neither in demesne nor in service on the day he died, but they say that Thomas Broket, brother of the same Edward, and Elizabeth his wife were seised of and in the manor of **Symonsyde** with appurtenances in the aforesaid county

6. pred<u>icto in domini</u>co suo ut de feodo in iure ip<u>s</u>ius Elizabeth' & sic inde sei<u>si</u>tu eod<u>e</u>m man<u>er</u>io cu<u>m</u> p<u>er</u>tin<u>encijs</u> p<u>er</u> fine in Cur<u>i</u>e d<u>omine</u> Edwardi nup<u>er</u> Regis Anglie quarti apud Westm<u>onasterium</u> in xv^ti

in their demesne as of fee in right of the same Elizabeth. And thus there were seised of the same manor with appurtenances according to a fine raised² in the late lord king Edward IV's court at Westminster 15 days

7. s<u>ancti Iohann</u>is Bapt<u>ist</u>e anno regni sui xv⁰ coram Thome Bryan & socijs suis Iustic<u>ariis</u> eiusd<u>e</u>m d<u>omini</u> Regis de Banco leuat recognouer' quod maneriu<u>m predictum cum pertinencijs</u> esse ius Roger Megur³ ut

after [the feast] of St John the Baptist in the 15th year of his reign before Thomas Bryan and his colleagues justices of the same lord king of the [Common] Bench acknowledging that the aforesaid manor with appurtenances was the right of Roger Megur ...

¹ Calendar of Fine Rolls 1485-1509 p 188.

² See IPM Elizabeth 18.

³ See Megur 1.11.

- 8. illud quod idem Rogerus ac Brianus Rocclyff Matheus Cressi Ricardus Pygot seruiens ipsius nuper Regis ad legem Iohannes Pulter Edwardus Broket & Thomas ffelde tunc habuerunt
 - ??... that the same Roger and Brian Rocclyff, Matthew Cressi, Richard Pygot, the late king's serjeant at law, John Pulter, Edward Broket, & Thomas Field then had it
- 9. de dono predicto Thome & Elizabeth' pretextu cuius finis ijdem Brianus Matheus Ricardus Pigot Iohannes Pulter Edwardus Broket & Thomas ffelde fuerunt seisiti in dominico suo ut de libero tenemento et

from the aforesaid gift to Thomas and Elizabeth by reason of which fine Brian, Matthew, Richard, John, Edward and Thomas were seised in their demesne of freeholding and

10. predictus Rogerus Megur in dominico suo ut de feodo ad perimplend vltimam voluntate eorumdem Thomam & Elizabeth' vxor eius Et postea predict' Thomas '& Elizabeth' vxor eius obierunt sine herede de corpore suo

the aforesaid Roger in his demesne as of fee in fulfilment of the last will of the same Thomas and Elizabeth his wife⁴ And afterwards the aforesaid Thomas and Elizabeth his wife died without heirs of their bodies

11. exeunt post quorum mortem predictus Rogerus Megur pro factum suum Iuratoribus super capcionem huius inquisicionis in euidens ostens relaxauit totum ius & titulum suum que habuit seu quouismodo extunc

issuing after whose death the aforesaid Roger Megur for jurors on the taking of this inquisition in clear proof relinquishing all his right and title which he had or in any way whatsoever henceforth

- 12. habere potuit in eodem manerio de Simondisyd predicto Briano Rocclyff Matheo Cressy Ricardo Pygot Iohanni Pulter Edwardo Brokett & Thome ffelde & hered suis pretextu cuis relaxacionis might have in the same manor of Simondisyd to the aforesaid Brian Rocclyff, Mathew Cressy, Richard Pygot, John Pulter Edward Brokett and Thomas Fielde and their heirs by reason of which relinquishment
- 13. ijdem Brianus Matheus Ricardus Pigot Iohannes Pulter Edwardus Broket & Thomas ffelde fuer<u>unt</u> inde seisiti in dominico suo ut de feodo postea que predictus Ricardus Pygot Iohannes Pulter the same Brian, Mathew, Richard Pigot, John Pulter Edward Brokett and Thomas Fielde were then seised in their demesne as of fee after the said Richard Pigot, John Pulter
- 14. Edwardus Broket & Thomas ffelde obierunt & predictus Bryanus & mattheus ipses superuixerunt & tempore capcionis huius inquisicionis sunt inde seisiti in dominico suo ut de feodo per ius Edward Brokett and Thomas Fielde died and the aforesaid Brian and Mathew themselves survived & at the time of the taking of this inquisition were then seised in their demesne as of fee by right
- 15. accressendi⁵ Item vlterius 'dicunt' Iuratores predictes quod dict' maneriu<u>m</u> de **Symondisyd** cu<u>m</u> pertinenciis tenetur de Episcopo Eliensis ut de manerio suo de hatfelde Episcopi set per que seruicia penitus ignorant prout quod idem

increasing. Item furthermore the aforesaid jurors say that the said manor of Simondisyd with appurtenances is held of the Bishop of Ely as of his manor of Bishops Hatfield but for what service are wholly ignorant, but that the same

16. manerium valet per annum vltra reprisas in omnibus exitibus C s Et vlterius Iuratores predicti dicunt quod predictus Thomas Broket fuit seisit de manerio de Herons⁶ cum pertinencijs in dominico suo ut 'de' feodo

⁴ Two wills? Elizabeth would have had one too.

⁵ The Exchequer copy has accrescendi.

⁶ Mistakenly transcribed in the Calendar as Hercus. The scribe of the Chancery document did not make space between the o and the n, giving rise to the misreading (and mistranscription) by the Exchequer copyist of cu for on, as also in line 22 below.

manor is worth 100s per annum in all issues over and above reprises. Further the aforesaid jurors say that the aforesaid Thomas Broket was seised of the manor of Herons with appurtenances in his demesne as of fee

- 17. et sic inde sei<u>sit.</u> feoffauit Ioh<u>ann</u>em ffuller & Thomam ffelde virtute cui<u>us</u> feoffamenti ijdem Ioh<u>ann</u>es ffuller & Thomas ffelde fuer<u>unt</u> inde sei<u>siti</u> in dom<u>ini</u>co suo ut de feodo & sic inde sei<u>siti</u> and so then seised enfeeoffed John Fuller and Thomas Field by virtue of which feoffment the same John Fuller and Thomas Field were seised in their demesne as of fee and so then seised
- 18. ijdem Iohannes & Thomas dederunt & concesserunt dict... manerium cum pertinencijs Roberto Calton Vicario ecclesie de sancto Ipolito Roberto Pigot vnius seruientis ipsius nuper Regis ad legem Iohanni

the same John and Thomas gave and granted the said manor with appurtenances to Robert Calton Vicar of St Ippolletts, Robert Pigot one of the late king himself's serjeants at law, John

- 19. Pulter Edwardo Broket in dict br<u>eu</u>i no<u>min</u>ate & Thome Abbot & hered... suis virtute cui<u>us</u> feoffamenti ijdem Rob<u>er</u>tus Calton Ric<u>ard</u>us Pigot Ioh<u>ann</u>es Pulter Edwardus Broket et

 Pulter Edward Broket mentioned in the said writ and Thomas Abbot and their heirs by virtue of which feoffment the same Rob<u>er</u>t Calton, Richard Pigot, John Pulter, Edward Broket and
- 20. Thomas Abbot fuer<u>unt</u> inde sei<u>siti</u> in dom<u>ini</u>co suo ut de feod<u>o</u> & <u>predictus</u> Ric<u>ard</u>us Pigot Ioh<u>ann</u>es Pulter Edwardus Broket & Thomas Abbot de tali⁸ statu obieru<u>n</u>t seisit' & <u>predict</u>' Rob<u>er</u>tus Thomas Abbot were then seised in their demesne as of fee and the aforesaid Rich<u>ard</u> Pigot, John Pulter, Edward Broket and Thomas Abbot died seised of such an estate and the aforesaid Robert
- 21. Calton ipsos superuixit & se tenuit intus?? in eodem manerio cum pertinencijs tempore capcionis huius inquiciscionis fuit inde seisit.. in dominico suo ut de feodo per ius accressendi Et dicunt vlterius ijdem

Calton himself survived and held himself within (maintained himself?) in the same manor with appurtenances at the time of the taking of this inquisition was then seised in his demesne as of fee by increasing right. Further the same

22. Iurat<u>ores quod dictum</u> manerium de **Herons** cum <u>pertinencijs</u> tenet<u>ur</u> de Abb<u>ate sanc</u>ti Petr<u>i</u> Westm<u>onasterii</u> ut de man<u>er</u>io suo de Wheth<u>a</u>mstede set <u>per</u> que <u>seruic.</u> penit<u>us</u> ignorant & <u>quod</u> man<u>erium</u> illud valet <u>per</u> annum vlt<u>ra</u> repris<u>as</u>

jurors say that the said manor of **Herons** with appurtenances is held of the Abbot of St Peters Westminster as of his manor of Wheathampstead but by what service are wholly ignorant and that that manor is worth per annum over and above reprises.

23. in omnibus exit<u>ibus</u> quatuor marc.. Et p<u>redicti Iuratores</u> dicunt q<u>uo</u>d dict.. Edwardus Broket in dict.. br<u>eu</u>i nominat<u>e</u> fuit seisit de man<u>er</u>io de **Almysho** cum p<u>er</u>tin<u>encijs</u> in d<u>omi</u>nico suo ut de feod<u>o</u> ac de **aduocac<u>i</u>one**

in all issues four marks. And the aforesaid jurors say that the said Edward Broket mentioned in the said writ was seised of the manor of **Almysho** with appurtenances in his demesne as of fee and of the **advowson**

24. ecclesie de Graueley & Cheuisfelde ut de feodo & sic inde seisitus dedit & concessit dictum manerium cum pertinencijs ac aduocacion' predict.. Thome Leuenthorp & Iohanni Landy & hered' suis ad perimplendam

of the church of Graveley and Chevisfield as of fee and so then seised gave and granted the said manor with appurtenances and the aforesaid advowson to the aforesaid Thomas Leventhorp and John Landy⁹ and their heirs in fulfilment

25. vltimam voluntatem eiusdem Edwardi in dicti breui nominate virtute cuius feoffamenti ijdem

⁸ See IPM Elizabeth 13.

⁷ See IPM Elizabeth 27.

⁹ Edward's executors?

Thomas Leuenthorp' & Iohannes Landi fuer<u>unt</u> seisit<u>i</u> de man<u>er</u>io <u>pre</u>dict<u>o</u> cum <u>per</u>tin<u>encijs</u> in dom<u>ini</u>co suo ut

of the last will of the same Edward mentioned in the said writ by virtue of which feoffment the same Thomas Leventhorp and John Landy were seised of the aforesaid manor with appurtenances in their demesne as of fee

- 26. de feodo ac de aduocacione predicte ut de feodo & iure tempore mortis eiusdem Edwardi & adhuc exist... inde seisit ut per quandam Cartam Iuratores predictes super capcione huius inquisicionis and of the aforesaid advowson as of fee and right at the time of death of the same Edward & yet lives seised thereof as by a certain deed to the aforesaid jurors on the taking of this inquisition
- 27. in euidens ostens pleni<u>us</u> apparet **Item** dic<u>unt</u> Iur<u>atores predicti quo</u>d dict<u>um</u> man<u>erium</u> de Almysho cu<u>m</u> p<u>ertinencijs</u> ac aduocac<u>i</u>one p<u>redicte</u> tenet<u>ur</u> de Thoma Langford p<u>er</u> redd<u>itu</u> vni<u>us</u> rose rubie ad

in clear proof plainly appeared. **Item** the aforesaid jurors say that the said manor of Almysho with appurtenances and aforesaid advowson is held of Thomas Langford at the rent of a single red rose at

28. festu<u>m</u> s<u>anc</u>ti Joh<u>ann</u>is Bapt<u>ist</u>e <u>pro</u> om<u>nium</u> s<u>eruicijs</u> & <u>quo</u>d man<u>erium</u> illud cum <u>pertinencijs</u> valet <u>per</u> annu<u>m</u> vlt<u>ra</u> repris<u>as</u> in om<u>nibus</u> exit<u>ibus</u> .x li. Et <u>predictes</u> Iurat<u>ores</u> dicunt <u>quo</u>d dict<u>us</u> Edwardus Broket

the feast of St John the Baptist for all service and that that manor with appurtenances is worth £10 per annum over and above reprises in all issues. And the aforesaid jurors say that the said Edward Broket

29. in dict<u>i</u> br<u>eu</u>i no<u>minate</u> obijt xxv to die Iulij anno regni Regis nunc tercio Et q<u>uo</u>d Ioh<u>ann</u>es Broket est filius & heres <u>pre</u>dict<u>i</u> Edwardi <u>pro</u>pinquior et est etatis xxviij^{to} annorum &

mentioned in the said writ died 25 July in the third year of the present king and that John Broket, aged 28 years and more, is his son and heir

30. amplius In cui<u>us</u> rei testimoniu<u>m</u> tam <u>predictus</u> Escaetor qu<u>am predicti</u> Iurat<u>ores</u> 'huic Inquisicioni indent<u>ata</u>' Sigilla sue apposuer<u>unt</u> die anno & loco sup<u>radict</u>is:.

In witness whereof both the aforesaid Eschaetor and the aforesaid jurors to this indented inquisition affixed their seals on the day, year and place mentioned above.